

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
JAN DOBROWOLSKI

Plaintiffs,

- against -

110 WALL STREET LP et al.,

Defendants
-----X

21 MC 102 (AKH)
CASE NO. 10CV6589

NOTICE OF ADOPTION
OF ANSWER TO MASTER
AND AMENDED
COMPLAINT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED


PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants RUDIN MANAGEMENT INC. (hereinafter RUDIN) as and for its response to the allegations set forth in the Amended Complaint filed pursuant to the October 14, 2010 order of Hon. Alvin K. Kellerstein granting plaintiff leave to re-plead this complaint hereby adopts all of the responses and all of the responses and all of the affirmative defenses contained in the Answer to the Master Complaint dated, filed and served August 15, 2007, In re World Trade Center Lower Manhattan Disaster Site Litigation, 21 MC102 (AKH). The responses to all of the allegations in the Master Complaint are adopted herein and are applicable to the Amended Complaint served and filed herein. Defendant RUDIN, also adopt the responses contained any amended answer filed and served herein.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Defendants RUDIN, reserves the right to serve and file an amended answer and specifically reserves the right to interpose a cross claim against any and all codefendants.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Defendant RUDIN, also adopt all affirmative defenses and jury demand herein.

WHEREFORE, RUDIN demands judgment dismissing the above captioned action as against each of them, together with their costs and disbursements.

Dated: New York, New York
April 7, 2011



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq. (SMH-0310)
BARRY MOTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendants
RUDIN MANAGEMENT, INC.
2 Rector Street 14th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 313-3600

Index No.: 21 MC 102

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JAN DOBROWOLSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

110 WALL STREET LP, et al.,

Defendants.

=====

NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF ANSWER


=====

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

☐ **notice of entry** that the within is a (certified) true copy of an entered in the office of the clerk of the within named Court on _____.

☐ **notice of settlement** that a Proposed Order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement before the Honorable _____ one of the judges of the within named Supreme Court, _____ County, _____, at 9:30 a.m. on the ____ day of _____.

Dated: New York, New York
April 7, 2011



Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendants
2 Rector Street - 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600
File No.: WTC10000